UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Plaintiff,	Hon. Paul L. Maloney
V.	Case No. 1:16-cr-00036
DEMARIO DARELL HAIRSTON,	
Defendant.	

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Pursuant to W.D. Mich. L.Cr.R. 11.1, I conducted a plea hearing in the captioned case on July 8, 2016, after receiving the written consent of defendant and all counsel. At the hearing, defendant Demario Darell Hairston entered a plea of guilty to Count Two of the Second Superseding Indictment in exchange for the undertakings made by the government in the written plea agreement. In Count Two of the Second Superseding Indictment, defendant is charged with attempted possession with intent to distribute cocaine in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 846, 841(a) and 841(b)(1)(C). On the basis of the record made at the hearing, I find that defendant is fully capable and competent to enter an informed plea; that the plea is made knowingly and with full understanding of each of the rights waived by defendant; that it is made voluntarily and free from any force, threats, or promises, apart from the promises in the plea agreement; that the defendant understands the nature of the charge and penalties provided by law; and that the plea has a sufficient basis in fact.

Case 1:16-cr-00036-PLM ECF No. 134 filed 07/08/16 PageID.285 Page 2 of 2

I therefore recommend that defendant's plea of guilty to Count Two of the Second

Superseding Indictment be accepted, that the court adjudicate defendant guilty, and that

the written plea agreement be considered for acceptance at the time of sentencing.

Acceptance of the plea, adjudication of guilt, acceptance of the plea agreement, and

imposition of sentence are specifically reserved for the district judge.

Date: July 8, 2016

/s/ Ellen S. Carmody

ELLEN S. CARMODY

U.S. Magistrate Judge

OBJECTIONS to this Report and Recommendation must be filed with the Clerk of

Court within 14 days of the date of service of this notice. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). Failure

to file objections within the specified time waives the right to appeal the District Court's

order. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 155 (1985); United States v. Walters, 638 F.2d 947,

949-50 (6th Cir. 1981).

2